Walking Through the Mount - 6 Jesus, Oaths, and Integrity – Matthew 5:33-37

Introduction:

- This is the fourth contrast of the teaching of Jesus in light of the Law of Moses. As in the previous three, there is:
 - a. The situation "You have heard that has been said ... "
 - b. The condemnation "Do not swear at all..."
 - c. The affirmation of right living "Let your yes be yes..."
- 2. The practice of the Pharisees and Jews
 - a. "You shall not swear by My name falsely, but shall perform your oaths to the Lord" (Lev 19:12).
 - b. See also Exodus 20 and taking the name of the Lord in vain.
 - c. The Pharisees made a mockery of the Law by their traditions and duplicity (deceitfulness, cf Matthew 23:16-22 swearing by the temple vs the gold of the temple, or the altar vs the gift on the altar).
 - d. Practice of the Jews Acts 23:11-35
 - e. Psalm 15:1, 4
 - i. Jepthah Judges 11:30-40
 - ii. Paul Acts 18:18; 21:23

Body

- 1. This text points to the power of the tongue and our living testimony as presented to the world.
 - a. Matthew 12:37 By your words you will be justified...
 - b. James 3
 - c. Ephesians 4:25, 29

- 2. Does this text forbid the taking oaths in a court of law or prior to giving testimony?
 - a. **No.** Context is always the first consideration. (e.g., "He who does not hate his father and mother, wife and children, brothers and sisters, and yes his own life also..." Luke 14:26)
 - i. Mark 5:7; Acts 19:13, 1 Thes 5:27 "adjure" KJV = "oath"
 - ii. Jesus answered questions under oath Matt 26:63
 - b. The context would demand that the other party would know the person and character of the Christian.
 - c. When the other party lacks familiarity, the simple declaration of "I'm a Christian" lacks any real substance.
 - d. This would have been true in the first century when Christianity was new. It would also be true when the word "Christian" has lost its distinctiveness.